



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/21/2003

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 09/488,337 01/20/2000 IACTP010 Evgeniy M. Getsin 4283 22242 08/21/2003 7590 FITCH EVEN TABIN AND FLANNERY EXAMINER 120 SOUTH LA SALLE STREET AVELLINO, JOSEPH E **SUITE 1600** CHICAGO, IL 60603-3406 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 2143 18

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>			pre
Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/488,337	GETSIN ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Joseph E. Avellino	2143	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 0729 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of App Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this appli (1) a timely filed amendment wh	cation. A proper re	ply to a cation in
PERIOD FOR R	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Acevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WA 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The chave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions of the shortener of the shortener of the checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three management.	than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of S FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH late on which the petition under 37 CFR 1. Insion and the corresponding amount of the ed statutory period for reply originally set in	of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. 136(a) and the appropriate ex the final Office action; or	See MPEP e extension fee tension fee under (2) as set forth in
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	•	,	,,
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellan 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 C 			
2. igotimes 1 The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered	because:		
(a) $oxed{oxed}$ they raise new issues that would require furt	her consideration and/or search	(see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	n in better form for appeal by ma	terially reducing or	simplifying the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel	eling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claim	ms.
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. \square Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ection(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	d be allowable if submitted in a	separate, timely file	d amendment
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request application in condition for allowance because:		sidered but does No	OT place the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered by raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ecause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendme explanation of how the new or amended claims			and an
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follow	s:		
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u></u> / -/ /			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on	is a)□ approved or b)□ disap	proved by the Exar	niner.
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statem	ent(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	<u>13-15</u> .	
10. Other:			
	/	(At	
	SUPE	DAVID WILEY RVISORY PATENT EXA	MINER

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)

Advisory Action

Part of Paper No. 18

Application No.

Centinuation of 2. NOTE: the newly added limitation "playback of said event and said downloaded information after the simultaneous playback" (claim 1) raises new issues that would require further consideration and a new search of the prior art.